CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 0250310 DA	TE: <u>10/26/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:59 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:51 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: CE	EMEX-S MIAMI READY-MIX		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 4508 SW 72ND AVE		
	MIAMI 33155		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: N Email:	ED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFF	REY PORTER PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(561)718-7564
ENTITLEMENT PERI	OD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/201 (effective date) (end date)	3	
	Fa	ncility Section	

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	only one box)
---	---------------

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PA	ART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>NELSON MACHADO</u>	box for each	2
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER?	🛛 Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still NELSON MACHADO?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		□No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – EAST SIDE DUST COLLECTOR subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>8/11/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🗍 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🗌 No
 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>2-WEST SIDE DUST COLLECTOR subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>8/11/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗍 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No
 control emissions?		🗌 No
particulate matter?	—	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 -CENTRAL DUST COLLECTOR subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

DADT L. FUE DEVIEW DDIOD TO INSDECTION		
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check \mathbf{M} box for each c	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>8/11/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		•
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No
control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>4 –SINGLE SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>8/11/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗍 Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: 	ied	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 		🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	· Xes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes No b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes No c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes No b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes No c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes No d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes No e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes No
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr}}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?	\square	Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_		_
	permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	∐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🗋; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🗌 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followi</i>)	ng question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 (If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner of the term in the term. 		🗌 No
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	ermit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•
Administrative Changes:	box for cuch	question
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen	tative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u	nits or	
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? - 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No □ No

	In 126, the the factory provide written nothieution wrann bo dujo of the change?		
Ne	w or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership?	Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subm	itted	
	30 days prior to the change?	Yes	🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

10/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

10/26/2011

COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE WESTSIDE DUST COLLECTOR OF THE SPLIT SILO; THIS WAS DONE TO COMPLETE THE VE TESTS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2011 FOR THE FACILITY. THE VE TEST STARTED AT 10:29 A.M., THE SILO WAS LOADED WITH CEMENT AT A RATE OF 8 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TEST.

> **REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 2:21 pm, Nov 01, 2011